In-Class Polling: Less Teaching, More Learning?
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Did you ever have the sinking feeling that your class features too much of you and not enough of your students? That you may be engaged, but they’re not? That you’ve learned the material, but they haven’t? (That you’re having fun, but they’re not?) Well, I did and so I went in search of ways to improve the classroom experience for my students and ultimately myself. What I found was an online audience-response system that allows students to answer questions during class via text messaging or over the web. It’s free to students, quick to display results, engaging and fun. The technology also appears to enhance learning and retention.
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**Background**

For twenty years, I was a lawyer in the Washington, DC area, working at various times for two law firms and four companies. Although I was grateful for every job along the way and had been successful by some measures, I was not especially happy or fulfilled. One outlet for me was teaching as an adjunct at DC area colleges, which led to the occasional daydream about a second career as a college professor. And so perhaps it did not come as a total surprise to my wife when one evening I came home and asked: “Honey, how would you like to sell everything and move to South Bend, Indiana?” The University of Notre Dame, our alma mater, was looking for someone to teach business law to undergraduate students in its college of business. I applied, interviewed and was offered the position. All of sudden, it was someday.

With my wife’s assistance, I spent much of the summer preparing for class that fall. In addition to staying home for a few years to raise our son, my wife had herself changed careers, from human resources professional to teacher. After earning a masters degree in education, she became the gifted and talented specialist at a local elementary school. As the summer and my efforts progressed, I would boast about the lectures I was planning and she would gently remind me as only a wife can: “Just remember, it’s not about you, it’s about the students. They need to be involved; they need to participate.”

Mindful of my wife’s counsel and recalling the use of the Socratic method in law school, I decided that for each class students would have to brief two cases in writing as part of their homework. Through in-class questioning, I would draw students out, getting them to teach themselves and ultimately the rest of the class.

Over the course of the fall semester at least two challenges emerged. First, my questioning style proved to be more like that of Ben Stein’s Economics teacher in the movie *Ferris Bueller’s Day Off* – “Anyone? Anyone?” – than John Houseman’s towering Professor Kingsfield in *The Paper Chase* – “Speak louder, Mr. Hart! Fill the room with your intelligence!” For me, the Socratic method is a learned art to be developed over time. Second, even when an individual student’s case recitation and my subsequent questioning went well, I was primarily engaging only one student at a time. And so I went in search of a way to involve more students more often. What I found was in-class polling.

**Approach**

I first learned of polling at the University of Notre Dame when I attended a workshop on using a student-response system, presented by the Kaneb Center for Teaching and Learning. The system enables an instructor to pose a question to the class and have students respond by using a “clicker” – a hand-held device that looks like a TV remote control. The system tabulates students’ responses and displays the results. Potential benefits when integrated into traditional lectures include:

- higher levels of engagement (i.e., more students actively engaged more often);
- instant feedback, both to the professor and also to the student; and
- the option of anonymity in responding to sensitive issues. (Martin 2007)

Although there are ways to pose a question and solicit answers from the entire class without introducing technology into the classroom – everything from a showing of hands to paper ballots – none of the traditional methods would seem to offer all three benefits so neatly.

I was intrigued, but not convinced, however, as I also learned that the demonstrated system required students to purchase a clicker for roughly $20 and pay a subscription fee of roughly $15 per semester. I was not eager to add to the cost of my class.
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casts votes by sending text messages through a cell phone or clicking a Web page on a smartphone or laptop, indicating the option they wish to select. When the student's response reaches the company's Web servers the vote is counted and tallies are updated in real time. The poll and results can be published to PowerPoint or viewed as a Web page.

Unlike with clickers, there is no additional cost for most students at Notre Dame. Either they have a cell phone with a generous texting plan or they own a laptop or smartphone. They also know how to send text messages, which mitigates the risks associated with introducing a new technology. I was sold.

In other classes, I began with a question that I assumed most students would get wrong. These questions break a pattern and consequently they stick with the students. (Heath & Heath 2007) For example, in a class on contracts, I began with this question:

Mary offers to pay Mike $50 to run naked from the Main Building at the University of Notre Dame to LeMans Hall at St. Mary's College. Mike can accept the offer only by performance (and not by a promise to perform). If Mike does run naked from the Main Building to LeMans Hall, he and Mary will most likely have formed

a. a bilateral contract.
b. a unilateral contract.
c. a quasi contract.
d. no contract.

Most students think the correct answer is "b" because (like a unilateral contract) the offer seeks performance as the form of acceptance. But I explain...
At some point the responses start to degenerate—“O’Doyle Rules!” from the movie Billy Madison is a favorite—and I then know it’s time to cut off the text messaging. Sometimes the text messaging fosters additional classroom discussion by those who are willing, even eager, to speak candidly; sometimes it does not. Different classes seem to have different personalities. The exercise typically ends with me explaining how at least some of the responses involve rationalizing and that there is no ethically meaningful difference between shoplifting and illegally downloading music. End of sermon.

Finally, I used online polling simply to break up the class. (Medina 2007) I recognize that not every student shares my enthusiasm for business law. There is a lot to absorb, even when the class is merely reinforcing assigned readings. During a class that covered the statute of frauds, I showed a clip from the movie Jerry Maguire and went on to draw from other Tom Cruise movies and tabloid stories. Late in the class, when eyelids were growing heavy and attention short, I put up this question: “What is your favorite Tom Cruise movie?” I recognize that a visitor to the classroom at that moment might have wondered if this was the highest and best use of time, but my sense is that we need the educational equivalent of an intermission in some class sessions.

The results have been positive. Working with the Office of Information Technologies at the close of both Fall and Spring semesters, we surveyed students and learned the following: the great majority of students liked using online polling, thought it enhanced their learning, and found that it made class more engaging. Ninety five percent (95%) of the 173 responding students either strongly (138) or somewhat (27) agreed with the following statement: “I like using texting in this class to answer questions.” Ninety three percent (93%) either strongly (88) or somewhat (73) agreed with the following

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>It’s available and easy to download because I’m helping them out by playing their songs real loud</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I’m a man, I’m 40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think people consider anything they find on the internet—pictures, songs, information—fair game. Because one is a blatant act of stealing whereas technology has made it so that downloading pirated music is no longer perceived as a crime because God and the bookstore are clearly connected where the Internet is just magic because you aren’t really taking it from anyone in particular. It’s just there.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The vast majority of students, sometimes over ninety percent (90%) of the class, answer yes! I then ask: “Why? Why is it not ok to shoplift a CD, but ok to download music without paying for it?” Using the system’s text messaging capability, students have responded in a variety of ways:

- I’m stickin’ it to the man
- It’s only one song
- Everyone does it; we grew up in culture where it is considered ok
- It’s already been paid for
- It’s not tangible (there is no incremental cost or loss)
- I wouldn’t buy it; I only copy it because it’s free and so there is no lost sale to the artist, the record company
- I won’t get caught

At some point the responses start to degenerate—“O’Doyle Rules!” from the movie Billy Madison is a favorite—and I then know it’s time to cut off the text messaging. Sometimes the text messaging fosters additional classroom discussion by those who are willing, even eager, to speak candidly; sometimes it does not. Different classes seem to have different personalities. The exercise typically ends with me explaining how at least some of the responses involve rationalizing and that there is no ethically meaningful difference between shoplifting and illegally downloading music. End of sermon.

Finally, I used online polling simply to break up the class. (Medina 2007) I recognize that not every student shares my enthusiasm for business law. There is a lot to absorb, even when the class is merely reinforcing assigned readings. During a class that covered the statute of frauds, I showed a clip from the movie Jerry Maguire and went on to draw from other Tom Cruise movies and tabloid stories. Late in the class, when eyelids were growing heavy and attention short, I put up this question: “What is your favorite Tom Cruise movie?” I recognize that a visitor to the classroom at that moment might have wondered if this was the highest and best use of time, but my sense is that we need the educational equivalent of an intermission in some class sessions.

The results have been positive. Working with the Office of Information Technologies at the close of both Fall and Spring semesters, we surveyed students and learned the following: the great majority of students liked using online polling, thought it enhanced their learning, and found that it made class more engaging. Ninety five percent (95%) of the 173 responding students either strongly (138) or somewhat (27) agreed with the following statement: “I like using texting in this class to answer questions.” Ninety three percent (93%) either strongly (88) or somewhat (73) agreed with the following
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Recommendations

In the ensuing two semesters I occasionally added the “Think-Pair-Share” strategy to my in-class polling in order to improve the level of engagement, learning and retention. After asking a question, I would tell students to:

- think about the problem and try to answer it in their head;
- pair up with a partner and discuss their proposed answer, and
- respond individually using Poll Everywhere. 

The opportunity to think, pair and share typically yielded discussion between students, and, happily for me, that discussion was often about the problem I posed. I now have a new variation planned:

- present a question that should yield a significant percentage of both right and wrong answers:
- let students respond via online poll;
- ask students to discuss how they answered with someone who answered differently;
- let students respond again via Poll Everywhere, and see if the results improve, i.e., see if students are able to teach each other the right answer. 

Late in the class, when eyelids were growing heavy and attention short, I put up this question: “What is your favorite Tom Cruise movie?”

With or without these variations, posing a limited number of questions to a class using an online polling system is engaging, effective and fun. It’s a good way to supplement what is primarily an introductory, lecture-based class. And it’s so easy, a lawyer can do it!

Of course, while attitudinal survey data is suggestive of efficacy, I recognize that it is not necessarily dispositive. I will leave a more detailed study of in-class polling and improved exam performance to the experts. And as long as we’re being honest, I should note that not all the feedback from students has been positive. Some of the complaints from our end-of-semester surveys were technical in nature – some students experienced weak cell phone service and delays in transmission/tabulation. Others cited the cost to those who don’t have generous texting plans. (Again, it’s not clear to me that this is actually a problem.) Still others found some of the questions too easy and/or not representative of the questions on the exams, and so questioning remains a work in progress. In particular, I am in search of longer questions that require issue spotting and application of the law to a set of facts. Finally, my sense is that at some point enthusiasm for using the system wanes among students, leading response rates to tail off as the semester winds down.
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